

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

R

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,434		12/31/2003	Stephen Lawrence	53051/294554	53051/294554 9581	
62296	7590	07/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GOOGLE / SILICON VA				KIM,	PAUL	
801 CALIFO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MOUNTAIN	VIEW,	CA 94041	2161			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/749,434	LAWRENCE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Paul Kim	2161				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>					
Period for							
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE OF TH	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	I.  lety filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 D	<u>ecember 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ T	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌 💲	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
C	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims						
4)⊠ (	Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
6) 🗌 (							
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) <b>⊠</b> (8	Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Applicatio	n Papers						
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examine	ſ.					
•—	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
P	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau		. 14.11				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMINER				
Attachment(	s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/749,434 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is responsive to the following communication: Original Application filed on 31 December 2003.

2. Claims 1-61 are pending and present for examination. Claims 1, 25, 27, 29, 30, 54, 56, 58, 59, and 61.

## **Election/Restrictions**

- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
  - a. <u>Group I</u>: Claims 1-24 and 30-53 relate to a method and a computer readable medium for receiving/creating a search query and determining a ranking score for the relevant article based on client-side behavior.
  - b. <u>Group 2</u>: Claims 25-26, 54-55, and 61 relate to determining client-side behavior data associated with an article.
  - c. <u>Group 3</u>: Claims 27-28 and 56-57 relate to determining a query-independent score for an article.
  - d. <u>Group 4</u>: Claims 29, 58, and 61 relate to identifying an article and displaying a score for the article based on client-side behavior data associated with the article.
  - e. <u>Group 5</u>: Claims 59-60 relate to a system comprising a processor, memory, client application, query processor, monitoring engine, a search engine, and a data store.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,434 Page 3

Art Unit: 2161

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul Kim Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161 Technology Center 2100

SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER